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Abstract—This paper presents an adapter to link the Matlab/Simulink model of a custom braking system into an open-source road traffic simulator, namely SUMO. The traditional braking models in SUMO work on a coarser level, generally modeling the deceleration of the vehicle based on a single equation. Substituting this model with a detailed system allows adjustments on a very low level, including delays between components, the traction of individual tires, and controllers for the anti-locking system by simulating those in Simulink. Besides introducing the novel adapter linked into SUMO and discussing parameter fitting to mimic the behavior of the traditional braking system, this paper discusses the amount of performance deterioration as the price for simulating a more sophisticated braking system.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Simulation of Urban MOBility (SUMO) [1] is an open-source road traffic simulator, capable of microscopic level simulations. It is able to simulate in high detail every vehicle on the road, and it is versatile, capable of modeling various scenarios. Its simulations can contribute to many fields like traffic-flow optimization, pollution reduction and the simulation of autonomous driving [2], [3], [4]. It was first released in 2001 as a simple, open source tool to enable research and continuously improved since then.

Nowadays, a car’s mechanical systems are replaced by functionally equivalent embedded systems. For instance, mechanical brakes are replaced by electronic brakes, also called brake-by-wire systems (BBW). A BBW system is a distributed embedded system substituting most pieces of a fully mechanical braking system [5]. While the traditional braking model in SUMO — in the form of a simple equation for the deceleration — mimics the behavior of mechanical brakes, novel BBW systems can be modeled in more detail. For that matter, the current braking model employed by SUMO is unnecessarily unrealistic, relying on one abstract equation for the whole braking process of the vehicle. For instance, i) internal delays within the BBW’s internal components, ii) roadslip individual for each tire at each point in time, and iii) control algorithms providing for anti-lock braking system (ABS) functionality can be specified individually for each internal component, for each individual vehicle. As a matter of fact, it could be used in combination with real world testing of new braking systems [6].

A new, accurate model, taking into account all the internal details, allows for more realistic simulations. In this nanoscopic level of simulation, any changes can potentially affect the rest of the execution, like a butterfly effect. Since the only parameter that can be defined for the braking system in SUMO is the deceleration, all braking systems are considered to be uniform. In cases where the braking model is relevant for the purposes of research (cf. e.g., [4]), the delays on the braking system are to be accounted for since they could influence the results. This paper introduces a new interface that allows a custom model of the braking system to be utilized. Moreover, the paper discusses the implementation of the newly presented interface.

The range of models that can be connected and interfaced with this interface, may include all the interactions and behaviors of each of the BBW subsystem, including potential delays they have. The resulting interface can be exploited for a novel model-testing method, since models of custom subsystems can be hooked up and tested in this simulation environment.

A. Problem Formulation

The current braking model in SUMO abstracts important information about low-level properties, rendering it unnecessarily unrealistic and leading to inexact simulation results. Developing an interface — allowing for the integration of a more sophisticated and realistic model of the braking system and its internal embedded subsystems — alleviates the abstractions, improving the simulations realism. Equipped with the chance to simulate different models of braking systems, provides the opportunity for a more detailed simulation of the vehicles and enables researchers to perform simulations regarding the braking models themselves or applications that are highly dependent on the braking systems.

B. Research Questions

This paper addresses the following research questions:
1) How can the existing abstract mathematical model for braking in SUMO be replaced by a custom model?
2) What kind of impact does using a custom braking system make in the simulation of SUMO?

C. Approach and Contributions

This paper discusses how a custom braking system can be integrated into SUMO. The tools available are the model of a BBW system provided as a prototype by Volvo cars, and

1The work in this paper builds upon the work in [7].
the SUMO simulation environment. The contribution in this paper is the interface/adapter connecting both, integrating the BBW as surrogate for the old braking equation, and fitting the parameters to mimic the behavior of the old system.

Fitting the parameters of the new system such that it surrogates the old braking model demonstrates the impact of this change. The adapter presented is designed to allow for Simulink models in general to be applicable as braking-system surrogates in SUMO. Utilizing the aforementioned prototype model, simulations will be run in order to check the results and adjust the adapter so that the simulation results are as close as possible to the original ones.

D. Outline

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II discusses the details about how SUMO and Simulink work and how they can be employed in order to have a custom braking system simulated in SUMO. Section III discusses the design and rationale behind the adapter that allows to substitute SUMO’s braking model by a custom braking model. Section IV shows in detail how the testing of the braking system is accomplished and provides the results. Section V concludes of the paper.

II. INTERFACING BBW AND SUMO

This section discusses the details of interfacing SUMO and Simulink and how they can be employed in order to have a custom braking system integrated in SUMO.

A. Simulation of Urban MObility (SUMO)

Generally, the traffic modeling is distinguished at four different level, which are described as follows.

1) Macroscopic level refers to the traffic flow,
2) Mesoscopic level simulations exist between microscopic and macroscopic simulations. Sets of vehicles can be modelled as queues, and vehicles are moved between the queues,
3) Microscopic level refers to the behavior of a single vehicle regarding its environment and the driver control [8], and
4) Nanoscopic level (or sub-microscopic level) addresses the (sub-)systems within a vehicle. This notation allows to address specific sub-systems on their particular level when perceived as system-of-systems model.

SUMO is a highly portable open source simulation tool, designed to be employed with large road networks. It is able to work at the microscopic level (i.e., simulating every car on the road), mesoscopic level (less detailed but faster) or macroscopic level (highly abstracted but the fastest). This paper focuses on the microscopic level.

SUMO includes a set of tools for different kinds of applications, providing great flexibility. The most important one utilized here allows an online interaction with the simulation, called the Traffic Control Interface (TraCI). It allows to modify parameters of the simulation during its execution, which provides for a great amount of possibilities modifying the simulation behavior during run-time. SUMO executes in a console or with a Graphical User Interface (GUI) as shown in the example in Figure 1. The console version performs
naturally faster and is thus selected in this paper. A simulation run is specified in a configuration file before the execution.

B. Simulink

Simulink is a model-based modeling and simulation tool suite that is designed to work seamlessly together with Matlab. It is extensively adopted for modeling and simulating in various fields such as signal processing, power control, robotics, just to name a few. It packs a wide amount of features such as automated code generation, simulation parallelization and custom scheduling. Figure 2 depicts the design interface of Simulink.

The BBW system developed by Volvo and introduced in the MBAT-ARTEMIS project\cite{2} allows for demonstrating the feasibility of the interface. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, only once has a Simulink model been interfaced in SUMO \cite{9}, \cite{10} which did not concern a model of the braking system. One reason the BBW by Volvo qualifies as suitable candidate is that the system is available as real physical (prototypical) system, as several implementations, as (extended) UML models and as Simulink model, putting the quantitative assessment within a simulation environment like SUMO en par with discrete techniques from software testing (as discussed in the outlook in Section \ref{section:outlook}).

![Fig. 2: View of Simulink's workspace.](image)

\(\text{Fig. 2: View of Simulink's workspace.}\)

C. TraCI4Matlab

The selected tool for connecting Simulink and SUMO via TraCI is TraCI4Matlab \cite{11}. It is an Application Programming Interface (API) that allows Matlab to communicate with SUMO’s TraCI to interact directly with the executed simulation. Since TraCI works by creating a server where SUMO runs, TraCI4Matlab employs the TCP/IP layer to communicate MATLAB’s program that behaves as a client to it.

III. AN ADAPTER LINKING THE BBW VIA TRACI TO SUMO

The basic structure of the system is shown in Figure 3. The simulation in SUMO and the Simulink model that represents the braking system are connected via the adapter. The three components are explained below.

SUMO simulation \(\rightarrow\) Adapter \(\rightarrow\) Simulink model

\(\text{Fig. 3: An adapter connecting SUMO to the Simulink model.}\)

A. Simulation Environment

Figure 4 shows the selected simulation scenario, consisting of a 500 meters long road with a stop (i.e., initiating braking) at the 450 meters mark. The maximum permitted velocity is 70 m/s and the maximum velocity of the car is 100 m/s. These are arbitrary values selected so that the velocity is not a limiting factor for testing the brakes. Regarding SUMO’s behavior when approaching a stop mark, it attempts to commence braking as close to the stop mark as possible before stopping completely. This means, that the car will press and release the brake several times before it stops. After stopping, the car will stay in that position for a set amount of time before starting to move again. In this case, the time that the car is stopped is set to 20 seconds.

![Fig. 4: Test test scenario, driving from left to right.](image)

\(\text{Fig. 4: Test test scenario, driving from left to right.}\)

SUMO has a default seed for random number generation set to 23423. This causes runs to have the same results if other parameters are kept the same. Whenever a variety of scenarios is desired, the simulation is initialized with a different random seed for random number generation every time (e.g., based on the system time-stamp). The command launching the simulation is as follows.

\texttt{sumo -c scenarioPath --remote-port PORT --random --step-length STEPLENGTH --start}

The arguments’ function is:

\(a)\) \texttt{-c scenarioPath}: It loads the configuration file with the extension .sumocfg. This scenario file includes all the information for the simulation like the route file, the networks file or the configuration file.

\(b)\) \texttt{--remote-port PORT}: It selects which port is chosen to connect to TraCI. It is only needed when running several instances of SUMO with different simulations at the same time. It if is used, when initializing TraCI in MATLAB, the same port should also be specified.

\(c)\) \texttt{--random}: As said before, SUMO’s seed for the random number generator is always 23423. If different scenarios are needed, this option should be enabled. It will initialize the random number generator with the system time at that moment.
B. Braking Model

This prototype consists of a simple close loop system where for each time step in the Simulink simulation, the step size set in SUMO (0.1 seconds) is multiplied by the same acceleration that was employed in the vehicle description in SUMO (5 m/s²). This exact prototype model can be seen in Figure 5. The aim of this model is to build the adapter that makes the interaction between SUMO and the braking model possible. It is done this way because this model being very simple and equivalent to the system implemented by SUMO for the braking calculations, any difference or problem can be easily tracked. It will also be exploited later to test the impact of the adapter in the simulation.

![Fig. 5: Simulink version of old system: \( V = v_0 + at \).](image)

C. Design and Implementation of the Adapter

The idea behind this adapter is to substitute SUMO’s braking simulation with any braking model that is capable of outputting the speed to MATLAB.

1) Basic operation and implementation: The adapter is the component that intercepts the braking process in SUMO and substitutes it by the one in the model. For that, it follows the following process:

- Load the SUMO simulation and the braking model in Simulink.
- Run the simulation in SUMO step by step.
- When the brake pedal starts being pressed, the Simulink simulation is initialized with the necessary values and run. The results from each step of the simulation are buffered in MATLAB.
- For each step of the simulation when the brake pedal is pressed, the speed will be set according to the buffered values.

2) Settings: In order to run a custom simulation with the adapter, several steps are needed. First of all the SUMO configuration file and the Simulink model should be changed to fit the desired files. Then, if SUMO must be called with some special parameters or using the SUMO GUI, this should be changed in the line that runs SUMO. After that the only other necessary change is adding the necessary initialization values for the Simulink simulation in the designated section.

3) Engineering Decisions: The approach selected in this paper is different to the one shown in [9]. In this implementation, TraCI4Matlab was chosen since it is simpler and more direct than the approach shown in said paper, as seen in Figure 6.

The downside of this approach is that according to [11], the development process of this API is based on reverse engineering of the TraCI for the Python API and it was done quickly and without performance in mind so that might lead to some features not working or underperforming. That said, its application has proven to be easy and adequate for this task.

3http://sumo.dlr.de/wiki/Specification#Driving
IV. Evaluation

The testing and evaluation has focused on the part that could have made the greatest difference. Since slamming the brakes would result in equivalent deceleration, the test scenario is designed to require a more precise management of the brakes. This scenario, as explained in Section III-A, tries to stop the car at 450 meters from the origin. Some base results from a completely default SUMO simulation (without using an external braking model or the adapter) are presented and compared with the obtained results.

When setting up a vehicle in SUMO there is a parameter called "sigma" which determines how good the driver is. It can be set with any number from 0 to 1, being 0 a perfect driver and 1 a terrible one. Since the simulations with a sigma value of 0 resulted always in the same result, the decision was made to set sigma values of 0.5 and 1 in the simulations.

The results of the original braking system by SUMO are taken as a reference to compare the results of the custom simulations. On the other hand, the results of the simulations with the prototype braking model should show the impact of the adapter in the simulation since, as said in Section III-B, this model simulates the exact mathematical model employed by SUMO to calculate the braking.

A. Histograms of the stop position

All the histograms show the distribution of the braking position of the vehicle in 20000 simulations.

Notably, in Figure 7b there are 10 points between 428.8202 and 447.4959 meters not shown to increase readability. Both Figures 7a and 7b present sufficiently similar results. As it can be seen, most of the results are registered at the 447.9 meters mark. SUMO will never go over that threshold. This feature was discovered during the earliest tests that were made; when the sigma value of 0 was also tested and all the results returned that exact value. Being this graph representative of an average driver, all the vehicles where able to stop near the said limit. All the other results are tightly grouped around this point (without going over it).

Fig. 6: Comparison of architectures in [9] (left) and this paper (right).

Fig. 7: Comparison of histograms with different braking systems, $\Sigma = 0.5$. 
explains how the adapter is designed. The paper also revealed how the adapter behaves in a different way and the impact that it causes in the simulation. This work provided both a method to employ custom braking models in SUMO as well as overview of its performance. Future work will focus on i) further test scenarios to demonstrate that similarity between old and new brakes are independent of the scenario, ii) applying different parameters in the Simulink model for assessing their individual impact, and iii) an analysis alongside the software and hardware derivatives of the BBW system to determine, if flaws (be they functional or performance related) found in one implementation can be observed in the other.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
The work in this paper was partly supported by the Knowledge Foundation (KKS) through the project 20130085 (TOCSVIC), and by the European Union and VINNOVA under grant 692529-2 (SafeCOP).

REFERENCES